Environment Directorate / Development Management



Web: www.richmond.gov.uk/planning Email: envprotection@richmond.gov.uk Tel: 020 8891 1411 Textphone: 020 8891 7120

Mr P Villars Indigo Aldermary House 10-15 Queen Street London EC4N 1TX Letter Printed 19 September 2019

FOR DECISION DATED 19 September 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, (as amended) Decision Notice

Your ref:	Arlington Works	
Our ref:	DC/DYF/18/2714/FUL	
Applicant:	Sharpe Refinery Service Ltd	
Agent:	Mr P Villars	

WHEREAS in accordance with the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and the orders made thereunder, you have made an application received on **10** August 2018 and illustrated by plans for the permission of the Local Planning Authority to develop land situated at:

Arlington Works 23 - 27 Arlington Road Twickenham TW1 2BB

for

Redevelopment of the site to provide 610sqm of commercial space (B1 Use Class) within existing Buildings of Townscape Merit plus a new build unit, 24 residential units in two blocks (5 x 1 bedroom flats, 12 x 2 bedroom flats and 7 x 3 bedroom flats) and associated car parking and landscaping and other works.

NOW THEREFORE WE THE MAYOR AND BURGESSES OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF RICHMOND UPON THAMES acting by the Council of the said Borough, the Local Planning Authority HEREBY GIVE YOU NOTICE pursuant to the said Act and the Orders made thereunder that permission to develop the said land in accordance with the said application is hereby **REFUSED** subject to the reasons and informatives summarised and listed on the attached schedule.

Yours faithfully

72 Amy

Robert Angus Head of Development Management

SCHEDULE OF REASONS AND INFORMATIVES FOR APPLICATION 18/2714/FUL

APPLICANT NAME

Sharpe Refinery Service Ltd C/O Indigo Planning

AGENT NAME

Mr P Villars
Aldermary House
10-15 Queen Street
London
EC4N 1TX

SITE

Arlington Works 23 - 27 Arlington Road Twickenham TW1 2BB

PROPOSAL

Redevelopment of the site to provide 610sqm of commercial space (B1 Use Class) within existing Buildings of Townscape Merit plus a new build unit, 24 residential units in two blocks (5×1 bedroom flats, 12×2 bedroom flats and 7×3 bedroom flats) and associated car parking and landscaping and other works.

SUMMARY OF REASONS AND INFORMATIVES

REASONS		
U0069278	Loss of Designated Waste Site	
U0069279	Loss of Industrial Floorspace	
U0069280	Affordable housing	
U0069285	Design	
U0069282	Mix of Uses	
U0069283	Transport and Highways	
U0069284	Co2 emissions	
U0069281	Play Space	

INFORMATIVES	
U0037575	Decision drawing numbers ~~
IL26D	NPPF REFUSAL- Para. 38-42

DETAILED REASONS

U0069278 Loss of Designated Waste Site

The proposed development, by reason of its complete loss of an existing safeguarded waste site and lack of satisfactory full and proper evidence to demonstrate there is satisfactory compensatory and equal provision of capacity for waste, in scale and quantity, elsewhere within the West London Waste Plan Area; would result in the unacceptable loss of land accommodating an existing waste manage use which forms an essential resource for dealing with all waste streams within the Waste Plan area. The scheme is therefore contrary to policy, in particular, policies 5.17 and 5.19 of the London Plan (2016), policy WLWP2 of the West London Waste Plan (2015) and LP24 of the Local Plan (2018).

U0069279 Loss of Industrial Floorspace

The proposed development, by reason of its complete loss of an existing industrial site and lack of satisfactory full and proper marketing evidence to demonstrate there is a lack of demand for continued use of the premises as a B2 use, or appropriate alternative employment generating uses, or other suitable evidence; would result in an unacceptable loss of an industrial site, to the detriment of the local economy and range of employment premises within the borough. The scheme is therefore contrary to policy, in particular, policies 4.14 of the London Plan (2016) and LP42 of the adopted Local Plan (2018).

U0069280 Affordable housing

The proposed on-site affordable housing provision, by reason of its under provision of affordable units on site below the percentage required, would fail to meet any priority needs for rented affordable housing. The proposed shared ownership units would fail to meet the affordability criteria in the Intermediate Housing Policy and would fail to adequately contribute to the Borough's housing stock or maximise affordable housing. The proposal would therefore be contrary to policy, in particular, the NPPF, policies 3.13 of the London Plan (2016) and LP 36 of the adopted Local Plan (2018) and the Mayor's Affordable Housing & Viability Supplementary Planning Guidance and the Local Planning Authority's Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Documents.

U0069285 Design

The proposed development, by reason of its siting, footprint, mass and of the severe horizontal emphasis of the eastern elevation of the proposed main residential building, combined with the height and siting of the proposed smaller residential building, would result in a cramped and contrived form of over development of the site, and would appear overbearing on the existing Buildings of Townscape Merit (BTM) on site. The proposed development is therefore contrary to policy, in particular, the NPPF and policies 3.5 and 7.4 of the London Plan (2016), LP1, LP4 and LP39 of the Local Plan (2018) and the Design Quality SPD.

U0069282 Mix of Uses

The proposed development, by reason of its lack of segregated pedestrian/cycle access into/throughout the site and unsatisfactory siting and layout, would result in an unacceptable co-location of uses which gives rise to inappropriate conflict between users, to the detriment of the proposed commercial use operation and the safety/amenity of proposed residential occupants. The proposed development is therefore contrary to policy, in particular, the NPPF, policies 4.3 of the London Plan (2016), LP1 (A.6) and LP35(A) of the Local Plan (2018).

U0069283 Transport and Highways

The proposed development, by reason of its lack of sufficient off-street parking provision, the loss of existing parking spaces on the access road and in the absence of a satisfactory parking survey to demonstrate there is capacity in the surrounding roads to accommodate the likely parking shortfall, would adversely impact on existing onstreet parking conditions, the free flow of traffic and pedestrian and vehicular safety on the surrounding highway network. Furthermore, in the absence of a binding agreement to secure the removal of rights to parking permits and provision of car club memberships for prospective occupants, the application would fail to adequately promote sustainable modes of transport. The scheme is therefore contrary to the aims and objectives of policies, in particular, policy LP45 of the Local Plan (2018) and the adopted Front Garden and Other Off-Street Parking, and Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Documents.

U0069284 Co2 emissions

The proposal does not meet the zero carbon homes policy targets and in the absence of a binding agreement to secure a financial contribution to a carbon offset payment, the proposal would fail to mitigate the impact of development on the environment. As such, the proposal is contrary the aims and objectives of London Plan Policy 5.2 and Policies LP20 and LP22 of the adopted Local Plan (2018).

U0069281 Play Space

The proposed development, by reason of its insufficient provision of on-site children's play space, would fail to encourage and promote healthier and more active lifestyles. The proposals would therefore be contrary to policy. In particular the proposals would fail to comply with the aims and objectives of policies 3.6 of the London Plan (2011), LP31 of the adopted Local Plan (2018) and the guidance set out within the Mayor's SPG on Shaping neighbourhoods: Play and Information Recreation (2012) and the LBRUT Planning Obligations SPD (2014).

DETAILED INFORMATIVES

U0037575 Decision drawing numbers ~~

For the avoidance of doubt the Drawing(s) No(s) to which this decision refers are as follows:-

4786_3_10_B, 4786_3_11_B and 4786_3_20_B received on 26 October 2018

2(Outlines Elevations) and 2(Outlines Elevations 2) received on 21 August 2018

4786_2_01_A, 4786_2_02_A, 4786_3_12_A, 4786_3_13_A, 4786_3_14_A, 4786_3_15_A, 4786_3_21_A, 4786_3_22_A, 4786_3_23_A, 4786_3_24_A, and 4786_3_25_A and TCP 01, TPP 01 A received on 10 August 2018

IL26D NPPF REFUSAL- Para. 38-42

In accordance with paragraphs 38-42 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Richmond upon Thames Borough Council takes a positive and proactive approach to the delivery of sustainable development, by:

o Providing a formal pre-application service

o Providing written policies and guidance, all of which is available to view on the Council's website

 \boldsymbol{o} Where appropriate, negotiating amendments to secure a positive decision

o Determining applications in a timely manner.

In this instance:

o The applicants sought formal pre-application advice, however, this was not followed and the scheme remained contrary to policy and guidance, and therefore refused without delay.

o The applicants did not seek formal pre-application advice, and the scheme was found to be contrary to policy and guidance. The Councils recommendations for amendments were not followed, and therefore the application was subsequently refused. The Council is ready to enter into discussions to advise the applicants of relevant policy and guidance; and where possible assist in the preparation of a new planning permission.
o The applicants did not seek formal pre-application advice, and the scheme was found to be contrary to policy and guidance, and subsequently refused. The Council is ready to enter into discussions to advise the applicants of relevant policy and guidance; and where possible assist in the preparation of a new planning permission.
o The application was recommended for approval and referred to the first available Planning Committee, where the agents / applicants had an opportunity to present the case. The Planning Committee found the scheme was contrary to policy and guidance, and subsequently refused. The Council is ready to enter into formal pre-application discussions to advise the applicants had an opportunity to present the case. The Planning Committee found the scheme was contrary to policy and guidance, and subsequently refused. The Council is ready to enter into formal pre-application discussions to advise the applicants of relevant policy and guidance, and subsequently refused. The Council is ready to enter into formal pre-application

END OF SCHEDULE OF REASONS AND INFORMATIVES FOR APPLICATION 18/2714/FUL

FUL Applications Making an Appeal – Summary Guidance

Whether to appeal

If the Local Planning Authority (LPA) turn down your application, you should look carefully at the reasons why they turned it down before you make an appeal. You should speak to the LPA to see if you can sort out the problem - perhaps by changing your proposal. An appeal should only ever be a last resort.

Type of appeal:

Planning Application

Appeal time:

Within six months of the date of the council's decision letter.

Who can appeal?

The applicant or their agent may lodge an appeal.

The right of appeal:

You can appeal against the council's decision:

- If you applied to the Local Planning Authority and they:
 - Refused permission;
 - o Gave permission but with conditions you think are inappropriate;
 - Haven't approved the details of a scheme which they or the Secretary of State have already given outline planning permission for or;
 - Have approved the details of a scheme but with conditions you think are inappropriate or unreasonable.
- If the LPA rejected a proposal arising from a condition or limitation on a planning permission.
- If the LPA don't decide your application within the time allowed. Normally the time allowed is eight weeks from when they accept your application.
- If the LPA told you they needed more information before they could decide your outline planning application, but you do not want to supply this.

You will make your appeal to the Department for Communities and Local Government of which the Planning Inspectorate is a part. Most are decided by specialist officers in the Planning Inspectorate. Only the person or business applying for consent to display an advertisement may appeal. If the council issues a discontinuance notice, only those on whom the notice is served may appeal.

The appeal process:

Appeals must be made

- Online at www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk, or
- Initial Appeals, The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6PN.

It will be expected that all appeal documentation will be submitted electronically.

The process is fully documented on the website of the Planning Inspectorate www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk, however in summary there are three main types of appeal:

Written procedure:

Written evidence is considered from the applicant/agent/business and the council. The council will send copies of any letters of objection or support they received when considering your application. Within six weeks of the Inspectorate receiving your appeal forms the council will send a copy of their statement to the Inspectorate. You must make any comment on these within three weeks.

Hearing procedure:

Hearings allow you and the council to exchange views and discuss your appeal. Before the hearing the council will send a copy of their statement to you and the Inspectorate. You can comment on their statement in writing otherwise the Inspectorate will treat the reasons given in your appeal form as the basis of your case for discussion.

Hearings are usually held in council offices. The Inspector leads the discussion and invites the people involved to put their points across. The Inspector will visit the site unaccompanied before the hearing and will make a further accompanied visit as part of the hearing.

Inquiry procedure:

Inquiries are normally for large-scale applications. A public inquiry is a formal procedure in which both parties have legal representation.

Making your views known on someone else's appeal:

The LPA will notify anyone who took part in the consultations when you first applied for permission that you are appealing. For appeals decided by hearing or inquiry the LPA will tell interested people when and where this will be and let them know that they can attend. The Inspectorate will also take account of the views of certain groups who have a right to comment, for example, owners of a site, local amenity groups and so on.

Costs:

Normally you and the council will pay for your own expenses in an appeal. You can only claim costs when you can show that the council have behaved in an unreasonable way causing unnecessary expense.

Who to contact?

The Planning Inspectorate

Website	www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk	
Email	enquiries@pins.gsi.gov.uk	
Telephone	0303 444 5000	
Write to	Initial Appeals, The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6PN	

London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Website	www.richmond.g	ov.uk/planning

Email planningappeals@richmond.gov.uk

- Telephone 020 8891 1411 for advice
- Write to The Appeals Officer, Development Control, Civic Centre, 44 York Street, Twickenham TW1 3BZ